

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,985	02/25/2004	Nicolai Tarasinski	09016-US	6777
30689 7590 01/25/2007 DEERE & COMPANY			EXAMINER	
ONE JOHN DEERE PLACE			AVERY, BRIDGET D	
MOLINE, IL 61265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3618		
		: •	•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI	OD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/786,985	TARASINSKI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bridget Avery	3618		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Oc	ctober 2006.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •	. —			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🗵 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bek (US Patent 5,879,265) in view of Yakes et al. (US Patent 6,885,920).

Bek teaches a drive system for a vehicle, the vehicle having at least one first wheel (5) that is driven by a first drive motor (1) and at least one second wheel (6) driven by a second drive motor (2), in a drive train of which a shiftable transmission (3,4) that can be shifted between at least two speed transmission steps is arranged, including: a device (inherent) for the detection of a shift command; and, a control unit (20). Re claim 5, the use of a clutch in a manual transmission is old and well known.

Bek lacks the teaching of designating a wheel to receive a lower load at a shift command.

Yakes et al. teaches a control system including a shift input device that may be used to cause the electric motors to operate at different speeds. Yakes et al. also teaches a generator and an engine, which are old and well known in the vehicle art.

Based on the teachings of Yakes et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add wheel control commands to the controller of Bek to minimize shift shock.

2. Claim 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bek ('265) in view of Yakes et al. ('920).

The combination of Bek and Yakes et al. teaches the features described above.

The combination of Bek and Yakes et al. lack the teaching of arranging the motor, a shiftable transmission and a final drive transmission within a wheel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrange the motor, the shiftable transmission and the final drive transmission within the drive wheel, since it was known in the art that such an arrangement would provide maximum speed reduction in a small space.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,985

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

December 19, 2006

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 4